Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted. MAY - 9 2008CLERK'S OFFICE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

Case: 1:08-gd-50118 DAP Dottify: that this distrom/20/108 true find. Page Dictial Panel on correct copy of the original on file in my office.

Attest: Geri M. Smith, Clerk

U.S. District Court

Northern District of Ohio

By: /S/Jennifer Smolinski

Deputy Clerk


UNITED STATES JUDICIAL P.

MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IPR 23 2008

MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FILED **ERK'S OFFICE**



IN RE: GADOLINIUM CONTRAST DYES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-5)

On February 27, 2008, the Panel transferred 20 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 F.Supp.2d___(J.P.M.L. 2008). Since that time, 59 additional actions have U.S.C. § 1407. See been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of February 27, 2008, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 15 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 15-day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

9 2008

MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FOR THE PANEL:

Case: 1:08-gd-50118-DAP Doc #: 3 Filed: 05/22/08 2 of 2. PageID #: 34

IN RE: GADOLINIUM CONTRAST DYES PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 1909

SCHEDULE CTO-5 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST. DIV. C.A. #	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>
CALIFORNIA NORTHERN	
CAN 4 08-1831	Carol Moorhouse, et al. v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al. Opposed 5/8/08
NEW YORK SOUTHERN	11
NYS 1 08-3385	David W. Lafforthun, et al. v. GE Healthcare, Inc., et al.
NYS 1 08-3387	Shiral Stidham v. General Electric Co., et al.
NYS 1 08-3389	Pavel Mamut, etc. v. General Electric Co., et al.
NYS 1 08-3427	Vauna Kathleen Ratner, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN	
PAE 2 08-1605	Dorothy Karros, et al. v. General Electric Co., et al.
TENNESSEE MIDDLE	
TNM 1 08-20	Sandra Litaker v. General Electric Co., et al.